

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/987,911	CROSBIE ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR	2131	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Kaveh Abrishamkar. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Randy Noranbrock (Reg. No. 42,940). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 August 2008
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*103(a) rejections over Ko (US 7,024,694) in view of Moran (6,647,400)*
**Claims discussed:**
*1,14,20*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*Ko (US Patent 7,024,694) and Moran (US Patent 6,647,400)*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner advised the Applicant that if claim 14 incorporated the limitations of dependent claims 20, which further elaborated on the filtering step, that the case would be in condition for allowance. The Applicant agreed to the amendment*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*/Kaveh Abrishamkar/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2131*
*(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)*